

# Summary of USDA's REAP Grant Program Proposed Rule

(Comments due to USDA by December 15, 2008)

John N. Moore  
Senior Attorney  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
Chicago, Illinois



Environmental Law & Policy Center • [www.farmenergy.org](http://www.farmenergy.org)

## Presentation Overview

---

- Update on USDA timelines for REAP-related rules.
- Summary of REAP grant proposal.
- Opportunities to comment.



Environmental Law & Policy Center • [www.farmenergy.org](http://www.farmenergy.org)

2

## General Information on USDA's Proposal

---

- Published in Federal Register October 15, 2008.  
Comment Deadline December 15, 2008.
- APPLIES TO FY2010 (10/1/09 – 09/30/10).
- USDA has proposed to consolidate 8 Rural Development grant programs into a single grant “platform” .
- A platform is a common set of rules and requirements .
  - The platform also has additional program-specific rules.
- One goal is to separate application timing from timing of funds availability from Congress.



## General Information (cont'd)

---

- The 8 Rural Development grant programs in the proposal include 5 “nationally-competed” and 3 “state-allocated” grant programs.
- Nationally-competed grant programs have specified deadlines.
- State-allocated programs have open deadlines.



## Key REAP Funding Limits

---

- No grant minimums/maximums specified in proposal – will appear in Notifications.
- \$200,000 – total project value limit for Simplified Application process (same as current).
- \$50,000 – grant size limit for avoiding loan guarantee restriction (NEW).
- \$20,000 – law requires USDA to set aside at least 20% of total REAP funding for grants of this size or less. USDA doesn't address this in the proposal.



## Key Changes From Current REAP Rules

---

- USDA only will consider funding grants of more than \$50,000 if
  - the applicant cannot obtain a USDA loan guarantee for any part of the project,
  - *or* if a grant is necessary to support a bank-issued guaranteed loan.
- No change to “rural area” requirement for ag producers such as greenhouses – fails to respond to public requests to change to include *all* agricultural producers.



## Key Changes From Current Rules (cont'd)

---

- Only one application deadline for REAP grants – June 15.
- “Preapplication” deadline of January 15.
- New “ranking” system for applications, and several scoring changes.
- More USDA discretion (cuts both ways).
- Additional reporting requirements.



## USDA’s Planned Application Approach

---

1. Accepts voluntary preapplications to determine *Applicant* and *Project* eligibility (Jan. 15).
2. Accepts applications submitted on-line or to USDA state RD offices by June 15.
  - *Comment – too late, consider other options for application deadlines.*
3. Scores and “ranks” applications on or after March 15 and July 15.
4. Obligates funds for successful projects.



## Preapplications – NEW

---

- Due January 15 (2010 and thereafter).
- USDA will use to determine applicant and project eligibility.
- No criteria for what should be in the preapplication.
- USDA hasn't committed to a response date for preapplications.
  - *Comment – Preapplication proposal seems vague in current form.*



## Step 1: Threshold Conditions - General

---

- Applicant must be *eligible* (e.g., citizenship).
- Project must be *eligible* (e.g., located in rural area).
  - *Comment – USDA is not listening to concerns on this issue and is applying the rule inconsistently.*
- Project must be *feasible or likely to be feasible*, based on “financial, technical, and environmental feasibility and sustainability of the project.”
  - *Comment – no clear criteria for feasibility. Not in current 9006 rules.*



## Threshold Condition – Loan Guarantee Requirement - NEW

---

- If requested grant amount is \$50,000 or less, USDA will consider funding application on its own merit, without considering other sources of funding, including loan guarantees.
- If amount is more than \$50,000, USDA will consider funding the project only if:
  - *Applicant cannot obtain a USDA loan guarantee for any part of the project; or the requested grant amount is necessary for a bank to make a guaranteed loan to the applicant.*
- USDA rationale – preserve grant money for small projects.



## Problems with the Loan Guarantee Requirement

---

- Creates new complexity barrier -- contrary to REAP language and Congressional intent for simplicity.
- Forces loan guarantees even if banker will finance project without it.
- Loan guarantees cost applicants (service fees).
- No rationale for \$50,000 limit.



## Step 2: Scoring – Notable Changes

---

- Environmental Benefits cut from 10 to 3 points.
- Readiness cut from 15 to 10 points.
- Prior Grant Recipient criterion deleted.
- Return on Investment cut from 10 to 6 points.
- New categories for Hybrid Technology, Financial Need, and Administrator Priorities.



## Step 3: Ranking Applications - NEW

---

- Goal – create a Priority List of projects for funding when money becomes available.
- Ranking criteria are:
  1. **Score**
  2. **Size of request relative to funds remaining in the program for the year** – if insufficient funds to select a higher-scoring application, USDA may select the next-highest scoring application.



### Step 3 (cont'd): Ranking Applications – NEW

---

3. **Non-availability of other funds needed for project** – If RD loans and other non-RD funding sources are needed but unavailable at the time of ranking, USDA may instead select the next-highest scoring application.
  - *Comment— USDA should increase transparency in the ranking process— e.g., release results.*



### Step 4: USDA Obligates Funds/Issues Grant

---

- Grant to be issued when funds are available and the project is either finished or upon completion of milestones (as under current law).



## Step 5: Reporting Requirements - NEW

---

- Grantees required to submit semi-annual performance reports during construction.
- Final report to be submitted after completion.



## Other Issues for Comment

---

- USDA use of Notifications
- Additional forms/technical standards not in rule



## Notifications

---

- USDA intends to use Notifications for several purposes, including: Identify grant minimum and maximum levels each year; Application or ranking date changes; Administrator Priority Category changes.
- USDA only plans to use Federal Register notices for REAP program Notifications.
- Weak commitment to issuing Notifications in timely manner.
  - *Comment: We recommend using USDA website, news releases and other methods as well.*



## Technical Report Information Removed From Rule

---

- Technical Report criteria (currently in 7 CFR § 4280.111(a)(7)) and requirements (currently in the Appendices to the 2005 9006 rules) will be available only on the Agency's website and at Rural Development offices as "handbook" language.
- These criteria specify such information as the level of detail/type of report/whether a Professional Engineer is required, and all of the technology-specific Technical Report requirements.
  - *Comment – We're concerned that this will create confusion and delay if the information either is not easily available or changes during the year.*



### For more information . . .

---

- We will publish this Presentation and related information at [www.farmenergy.org](http://www.farmenergy.org).
- Otherwise, please contact ELPC staff:
  - Andy Olsen, Senior Policy Advocate  
[aolsen@elpc.org](mailto:aolsen@elpc.org), 608-442-6998
  - John Moore, Senior Attorney  
[jmoore@elpc.org](mailto:jmoore@elpc.org), 312-795-3706
  - Kerry Johannsen, Policy Advocate  
[kjohannsen@elpc.org](mailto:kjohannsen@elpc.org), 515-244-1194, x208

